

Daniel Stanimirovic
2500 Parkview Drive, #710
Hallandale, FL 33009
Voice/Fax: 954-454-3550

United States Patent and Trademark Office
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O.B. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 29 2005

Application No. 10/615,443

Confirmation No. 7388

Examiner: Harry B. Tanner
Art Unit 3744

RE: ADVISORY ACTION

06-29-2005

Attn: Harry B. Tanner

Regarding the advisory action mailed 6/24/2005, I present the following explanation. All claims have retained their original numbers and the copies marked "clean copy" have only been supplied to assist the examiner in presenting a clear succession of claim chronology for those claims considered as Group II. Otherwise, this copy of that set of claims renumbered may, thus, be disregarded. If the issue is further confused by the divisional application, the divisional application claim section lists all pending claims with original numbering, as stated in the cover letter for that application.

The only other pending item or missing part of the divisional application is an additional filing fee as outlined in a separate correspondence, mailed 6/17/2005.

Additionally, claims listed as 8 and 17 (or 279 and 288) may be reworded and cancelled, respectively. Claim 8 (279) refers to a single dependent claim as follows.

8. The method of claim 2 wherein


a comparison is drawn of electrical Total Wattage as it parallels Total Pressure and the y value is calibrated by calculated BHP as obtained from current readings of the electric motor operating the primary mover with corrective calibration of the y value or y factor along the mover curve, and corrective calibration of the mover curve therewith.

279. The method of claim 273 wherein

a comparison is drawn of electrical Total Wattage as it parallels Total Pressure and the y value is calibrated by calculated BHP as obtained from current readings of the electric motor operating the primary mover with corrective calibration of the y value or y factor along the mover curve, and corrective calibration of the mover curve therewith.

Claim 17 (or 288) may be cancelled, as this function is already iterated and adequately covered in claim 278.

As stated above with clarity, I submit this letter to resolve any remaining issues concerning Group II of this application.


Daniel Stanimirovic, Inventor